

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
BEFORE THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD
Region 21

INTERMODAL BRIDGE TRANSPORT

and

Cases 21-CA-157647
21-CA-177303

INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF TEAMSTERS

**ORDER CONSOLIDATING CASES, CONSOLIDATED
COMPLAINT AND NOTICE OF HEARING**

Pursuant to Section 102.33 of the Rules and Regulations of the National Labor Relations Board (the Board) and to avoid unnecessary costs or delay, IT IS ORDERED THAT Case 21-CA-157647 filed by the International Brotherhood of Teamsters (Union) against Intermodal Bridge Transport (Respondent), in which a Complaint and Notice of Hearing issued on April 18, 2016, is consolidated with Case 21-CA-177303 filed by the Union against Respondent.

This Order Consolidating Cases, Consolidated Complaint and Notice of Hearing, which is based on these charges, is issued pursuant to Section 10(b) of the National Labor Relations Act (the Act), 29 U.S.C. § 151 et seq., and Section 102.15 of the Board's Rules and Regulations, and alleges Respondent has violated the Act as described below.

1. (a) The original charge in Case 21-CA-157647 was filed by the Union on August 10, 2015, and a copy was served by regular mail on Respondent on August 20, 2015.

(b) The first amended charge Case 21-CA-157647 was filed by the Union on September 24, 2015, and a copy was served by regular mail on Respondent on October 9, 2015.

(c) The second amended charge in Case 21-CA-157647 was filed by the Union on March 15, 2015, and a copy was served by regular mail on Respondent on March 23, 2016.

(d) The original charge in Case 21-CA-177303 was filed by the Union on May 31, 2016, and a copy was served by regular mail on Respondent on May 31, 2016.

(e) The first amended charge Case 21-CA-177303 was filed by the Union on June 23, 2016, and a copy was served by regular mail on Respondent on June 27, 2016.

2. (a) At all material times, Respondent, a Delaware corporation, has been engaged in the business of logistics, drayage, and container storage services, with a place of business located at 1919 East Pacific Coast Hwy, Wilmington, California (herein Wilmington facility).

(b) During the 12-month period ending December 31, 2015, a representative period, Respondent, in conducting its business operations described above in paragraph 2(a), performed services valued in excess of \$50,000 in States other than the State of California.

3. At all material times, Respondent has been an employer engaged in commerce within the meaning of Section 2(2), (6), and (7) of the Act.

4. At all material times, the Union has been a labor organization within the meaning of Section 2(5) of the Act.

5. (a) At all material times, the following individuals held the positions set forth opposite their respective names and have been supervisors of Respondent within the

meaning of Section 2(11) of the Act and agents of Respondent within the meaning of Section 2(13) of the Act:

Ozzie Zea	Assistant Vice President
Brent Bradley	Safety Director
Rod Kirkbridge	Operations Manager
Christina Rivera	Supervisor/Customer Relations

(b) At all material times, the following individuals held the positions set forth opposite their respective names and have been agents of Respondent within the meaning of Section 2(13) of the Act:

Marlo Quevedo	Dispatcher
Veronica Chang	Assistant to the Safety Director
Vicky Rosas	Assistant to the Safety Director
Security guards employed by Respondent on May 26, 2016	

6. Since about April 9, 2015, Respondent has misclassified its employee-drivers as independent contractors thereby inhibiting them from engaging in Section 7 activity and depriving them of the protections of the Act.

7. On or about May 16, 2015, Respondent, by Marlo Quevedo, at the dispatch window at the Wilmington facility:

(a) interrogated an employee about the employee's support for the Union;

(b) promised more work to an employee if the employee refrained from union organizational activities;

(c) threatened an employee with unspecified reprisals by stating that there would be consequences and employees would regret it if employees continued supporting the Union.

(d) threatened an employee that Respondent would close the Wilmington facility if the Union won or came in to the Wilmington facility.

8. On about June 16, 2015, Respondent, by Marlo Quevedo, at the dispatch window at the Wilmington facility:

- (a) interrogated an employee about the employee's support for the Union;
- (b) promised more work and better work assignments to an employee if the employee refrained from union organizational activities; and
- (c) threatened an employee with job loss for engaging in union organizational activities.

9. On about February 16, 2016, Respondent, by Ozzie Zea, near the dispatch area in the yard at the Wilmington facility:

- (a) threatened employees that support for the would be futile by telling employees that there would never be a union at the company; and
- (b) threatened employees with unspecified reprisals by directing employees who support the Union to leave and work for a company that has a union.

10. On about May 3, 2016, Respondent by Vicky Rosas, in the safety office at the Wilmington facility:

- (a) gave an employee the impression that his Union and other protected concerted activities were under surveillance when she informed the employee to be careful because Respondent was watching and recording everything the employees did and was aware of which employees were filing labor charges and other legal actions; and
- (b) threatened an employee with discharge when she told the employee that Respondent would get rid of employees who engaged in protected concerted activities and/or activities on behalf of the Union.

11. (a) About May 26, 2016, Respondent suspended and/or discharged its Employee Eddie Osoy and harassed and humiliated Osoy by having security guards escort him out of the Wilmington facility.

(b) Respondent engaged in the conduct described above in paragraph 11(a) because Osoy joined and assisted the Union, and engaged in concerted activities, and to discourage employees from engaging in these activities.

(c) Respondent engaged in the conduct described above in paragraph 11(a) because Osoy gave testimony to the Board in the form of an affidavit and cooperated in a Board investigation in Case 21-CA-157647.

12. By the conduct described above in paragraphs 6 through 10, Respondent has been interfering with, restraining, and coercing employees in the exercise of the rights guaranteed in Section 7 of the Act in violation of Section 8(a)(1) of the Act.

13. By the conduct described above in paragraphs 11(a) and (b), Respondent has been discriminating in regard to the hire or tenure or terms or conditions of employment of its employees, thereby discouraging membership in a labor organization in violation of Section 8(a)(1) and (3) of the Act.

14. By the conduct described above in paragraphs 11(a) and (c), Respondent has been discriminating against employees for filing charges or giving testimony under the Act in violation of Section 8(a)(1) and (4) of the Act.

15. The unfair labor practices of Respondent described above affect commerce within the meaning of Section 2(6) and (7) of the Act.

WHEREFORE, as part of the remedy for the unfair labor practices alleged above in paragraphs 6 through 11, the General Counsel seeks an Order requiring that at a meeting or meetings scheduled to ensure the widest possible attendance, Respondent's representative read the notice to the employees on worktime in the presence of a Board agent. Alternatively, the General Counsel seeks an order requiring that Respondent promptly have a Board agent read the notice to employees during worktime in the presence of Respondent's supervisors and agents identified above in paragraph 5.

The General Counsel further seeks an order requiring that the Respondent reimburse the discriminatee for all search-for-work and work-related expenses, regardless of whether the discriminatee received interim earnings in excess of these expenses, or at all, during any given quarter, or during the overall backpay period. The General Counsel further seeks all other relief as may be just and proper to remedy the unfair labor practices alleged.

ANSWER REQUIREMENT

Respondent is notified that, pursuant to Sections 102.20 and 102.21 of the Board's Rules and Regulations, it must file an answer to the consolidated complaint. The answer must be **received by this office on or before July 19, 2016, or postmarked on or before July 18, 2016.** Respondent should file an original and four copies of the answer with this office and serve a copy of the answer on each of the other parties.

An answer may also be filed electronically through the Agency's website. To file electronically, go to www.nlr.gov, click on **E-File Documents**, enter the NLRB Case Number, and follow the detailed instructions. The responsibility for the receipt and usability of the answer rests exclusively upon the sender. Unless notification on the Agency's website informs users that


the Agency's E-Filing system is officially determined to be in technical failure because it is unable to receive documents for a continuous period of more than 2 hours after 12:00 noon (Eastern Time) on the due date for filing, a failure to timely file the answer will not be excused on the basis that the transmission could not be accomplished because the Agency's website was off-line or unavailable for some other reason. The Board's Rules and Regulations require that an answer be signed by counsel or non-attorney representative for represented parties or by the party if not represented. See Section 102.21. If the answer being filed electronically is a pdf document containing the required signature, no paper copies of the answer need to be transmitted to the Regional Office. However, if the electronic version of an answer to a complaint is not a pdf file containing the required signature, then the E-filing rules require that such answer containing the required signature continue to be submitted to the Regional Office by traditional means within three (3) business days after the date of electronic filing. Service of the answer on each of the other parties must still be accomplished by means allowed under the Board's Rules and Regulations. The answer may not be filed by facsimile transmission. If no answer is filed, or if an answer is filed untimely, the Board may find, pursuant to a Motion for Default Judgment, that the allegations in the consolidated complaint not heretofore answered are true.

NOTICE OF HEARING

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT on **August 22, 2016**, at 1:00 pm at the National Labor Relations Board, Region 21, 888 S Figueroa, Street, Ninth Floor, Hearing Room 902, Los Angeles, CA, and on consecutive days thereafter until concluded, a hearing will be conducted before an administrative law judge of the National Labor Relations Board. At the hearing, Respondent and any other party to this proceeding have the right to appear and present testimony regarding the allegations in this consolidated complaint. The procedures to be followed at the

hearing are described in the attached Form NLRB-4668. The procedure to request a postponement of the hearing is described in the attached Form NLRB-4338.

DATED at Los Angeles, California, this 5th day of July, 2016.


Olivia Garcia, Regional Director, Region 21
National Labor Relations Board
888 South Figueroa Street, Ninth Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5449

Attachments

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT
NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD
NOTICE

Cases 21-CA-157647 and 21-CA-177303

The issuance of the notice of formal hearing in this case does not mean that the matter cannot be disposed of by agreement of the parties. On the contrary, it is the policy of this office to encourage voluntary adjustments. The examiner or attorney assigned to the case will be pleased to receive and to act promptly upon your suggestions or comments to this end.

An agreement between the parties, approved by the Regional Director, would serve to cancel the hearing. However, unless otherwise specifically ordered, the hearing will be held at the date, hour, and place indicated. Postponements *will not be granted* unless good and sufficient grounds are shown *and* the following requirements are met:

- (1) The request must be in writing. An original and two copies must be filed with the Regional Director when appropriate under 29 CFR 102.16(a) or with the Division of Judges when appropriate under 29 CFR 102.16(b).
- (2) Grounds must be set forth in *detail*;
- (3) Alternative dates for any rescheduled hearing must be given;
- (4) The positions of all other parties must be ascertained in advance by the requesting party and set forth in the request; and
- (5) Copies must be simultaneously served on all other parties (listed below), and that fact must be noted on the request.

Except under the most extreme conditions, no request for postponement will be granted during the three days immediately preceding the date of hearing.

A. Jack Finklea, Esq.
Scopelitis, Garvin, Light, Hanson & Feary, PC
10 W Market St Ste 1500
1777 Market Tower
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2968

Carlos A. Zaragoza, Organizer
International Brotherhood of Teamsters
25 Louisiana Ave, NW
Washington, DC 200

Donald J. Vogel, Attorney at Law
Scopelitis, Garvin, Light, Hanson & Feary, PC
30 W Monroe St, Ste 600
Chicago, IL 60603

Michael T. Manley, Esq.
International Brotherhood Of Teamsters
25 Louisiana Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20001

Intermodal Bridge Transport
1919 East Pacific Coast Highway
Wilmington, CA 90744-2910

Julie Gutman Dickinson, Attorney at Law
Bush Gottlieb
500 North Central Ave, Ste 800
Glendale, CA 91203

Procedures in NLRB Unfair Labor Practice Hearings

The attached complaint has scheduled a hearing that will be conducted by an administrative law judge (ALJ) of the National Labor Relations Board who will be an independent, impartial finder of facts and applicable law. **You may be represented at this hearing by an attorney or other representative.** If you are not currently represented by an attorney, and wish to have one represent you at the hearing, you should make such arrangements as soon as possible. A more complete description of the hearing process and the ALJ's role may be found at Sections 102.34, 102.35, and 102.45 of the Board's Rules and Regulations. The Board's Rules and regulations are available at the following link: www.nlr.gov/sites/default/files/attachments/basic-page/node-1717/rules_and_regs_part_102.pdf.

The NLRB allows you to file certain documents electronically and you are encouraged to do so because it ensures that your government resources are used efficiently. To e-file go to the NLRB's website at www.nlr.gov, click on "e-file documents," enter the 10-digit case number on the complaint (the first number if there is more than one), and follow the prompts. You will receive a confirmation number and an e-mail notification that the documents were successfully filed.

Although this matter is set for trial, this does not mean that this matter cannot be resolved through a settlement agreement. The NLRB recognizes that adjustments or settlements consistent with the policies of the National Labor Relations Act reduce government expenditures and promote amity in labor relations and encourages the parties to engage in settlement efforts.

I. BEFORE THE HEARING

The rules pertaining to the Board's pre-hearing procedures, including rules concerning filing an answer, requesting a postponement, filing other motions, and obtaining subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and production of documents from other parties, may be found at Sections 102.20 through 102.32 of the Board's Rules and Regulations. In addition, you should be aware of the following:

- **Special Needs:** If you or any of the witnesses you wish to have testify at the hearing have special needs and require auxiliary aids to participate in the hearing, you should notify the Regional Director as soon as possible and request the necessary assistance. Assistance will be provided to persons who have handicaps falling within the provisions of Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended, and 29 C.F.R. 100.603.
- **Pre-hearing Conference:** One or more weeks before the hearing, the ALJ may conduct a telephonic prehearing conference with the parties. During the conference, the ALJ will explore whether the case may be settled, discuss the issues to be litigated and any logistical issues related to the hearing, and attempt to resolve or narrow outstanding issues, such as disputes relating to subpoenaed witnesses and documents. This conference is usually not recorded, but during the hearing the ALJ or the parties sometimes refer to discussions at the pre-hearing conference. You do not have to wait until the prehearing conference to meet with the other parties to discuss settling this case or any other issues.

II. DURING THE HEARING

The rules pertaining to the Board's hearing procedures are found at Sections 102.34 through 102.43 of the Board's Rules and Regulations. Please note in particular the following:

- **Witnesses and Evidence:** At the hearing, you will have the right to call, examine, and cross-examine witnesses and to introduce into the record documents and other evidence.
- **Exhibits:** Each exhibit offered in evidence must be provided in duplicate to the court reporter and a copy of each of each exhibit should be supplied to the ALJ and each party when the exhibit is offered

in evidence. If a copy of any exhibit is not available when the original is received, it will be the responsibility of the party offering such exhibit to submit the copy to the ALJ before the close of hearing. If a copy is not submitted, and the filing has not been waived by the ALJ, any ruling receiving the exhibit may be rescinded and the exhibit rejected.

- **Transcripts:** An official court reporter will make the only official transcript of the proceedings, and all citations in briefs and arguments must refer to the official record. The Board will not certify any transcript other than the official transcript for use in any court litigation. Proposed corrections of the transcript should be submitted, either by way of stipulation or motion, to the ALJ for approval. Everything said at the hearing while the hearing is in session will be recorded by the official reporter unless the ALJ specifically directs off-the-record discussion. If any party wishes to make off-the-record statements, a request to go off the record should be directed to the ALJ.
- **Oral Argument:** You are entitled, on request, to a reasonable period of time at the close of the hearing for oral argument, which shall be included in the transcript of the hearing. Alternatively, the ALJ may ask for oral argument if, at the close of the hearing, if it is believed that such argument would be beneficial to the understanding of the contentions of the parties and the factual issues involved.
- **Date for Filing Post-Hearing Brief:** Before the hearing closes, you may request to file a written brief or proposed findings and conclusions, or both, with the ALJ. The ALJ has the discretion to grant this request and to will set a deadline for filing, up to 35 days.

III. AFTER THE HEARING

The Rules pertaining to filing post-hearing briefs and the procedures after the ALJ issues a decision are found at Sections 102.42 through 102.48 of the Board's Rules and Regulations. Please note in particular the following:

- **Extension of Time for Filing Brief with the ALJ:** If you need an extension of time to file a post-hearing brief, you must follow Section 102.42 of the Board's Rules and Regulations, which requires you to file a request with the appropriate chief or associate chief administrative law judge, depending on where the trial occurred. You must immediately serve a copy of any request for an extension of time on all other parties and furnish proof of that service with your request. You are encouraged to seek the agreement of the other parties and state their positions in your request.
- **ALJ's Decision:** In due course, the ALJ will prepare and file with the Board a decision in this matter. Upon receipt of this decision, the Board will enter an order transferring the case to the Board and specifying when exceptions are due to the ALJ's decision. The Board will serve copies of that order and the ALJ's decision on all parties.
- **Exceptions to the ALJ's Decision:** The procedure to be followed with respect to appealing all or any part of the ALJ's decision (by filing exceptions with the Board), submitting briefs, requests for oral argument before the Board, and related matters is set forth in the Board's Rules and Regulations, particularly in Section 102.46 and following sections. A summary of the more pertinent of these provisions will be provided to the parties with the order transferring the matter to the Board.